

March 17, 2015

AGENDA

**Regular Meeting** of the Hazlet Township Committee held at 8:15 p.m.

**Salute** to the flag and moment of silent prayer called by Mayor.

**Mayor's Statement** – Open Public Meetings Act & Emergency Fire Exits.

In Compliance with the “Open Public Meetings Act” of the State of New Jersey, adequate notice of this meeting of the Township Committee was provided in the following manner:

- (A) On January 5, 2015, advance written notice of this meeting was posted at:  
1766 Union Avenue, Hazlet, New Jersey.
- (B) On January 5, 2015, advance written notice of this meeting was forwarded to the Independent and published in the Asbury Park Press on January 7, 2015.
- (C) On January 5, 2015, copies of advance written notice of this meeting were mailed to all persons who requested and paid for such notices on or before January 1, 2015.

FIRE EXITS are located in the directions I am indicating:

Farther down at the end of the room, through the doors and down the stairs, directly out the front door.

To my right is the door, make a right down the hallway which leads to the stairs and directly out the rear of the building.

If you are alerted for fire, please move in a calm and orderly manner to the nearest exit. Finally, let the record reflect that the minutes of this meeting will accurately reflect the topics addressed during this meeting but will not be a verbatim transcript of tonight's proceedings. Thank you. I direct the Municipal Clerk to enter into the minutes of this meeting these announcements.

<u>ROLL CALL</u>	<u>PRESENT</u>	<u>ABSENT</u>
Committeeman DiNardo	<u>  X  </u>	<u>      </u>
Committeewoman Kiley	<u>  X  </u>	<u>      </u>
Committeewoman Ronchetti	<u>  X  </u>	<u>      </u>
Deputy Mayor Aagre	<u>  X  </u>	<u>      </u>
Mayor Sachs	<u>  X  </u>	<u>      </u>

**Ordinance Hearing:**

1. ORDINANCE TO EXCEED THE MUNICIPAL BUDGET APPROPRIATION LIMITS AND TO ESTABLISH A CAP BANK (N.J.S.A. 40A:4-45.15) FOR THE 2015 CALENDAR YEAR

Introduced: March 3, 2015.

Published in The Asbury Park Press, issue of March 6, 2015. Proof on file.

Posted in Town Hall – March 4, 2015.

Hearing to be held March 17, 2015.

**Hearing:**

No one spoke at hearing.

**Motion to close hearing:**

Offered Committeeman Aagre

2<sup>nd</sup> Committeewoman Kiley

Voice vote: Yes

**Action of Committee:** Adopt X

Offered Committeeman Aagre

2<sup>nd</sup> Committeewoman Kiley

Roll Call: Committeeman DiNardo Yes

Committeewoman Kiley Yes

Committeewoman Ronchetti Yes

Deputy Mayor Aagre Yes

Mayor Sachs Yes

**Resolutions, Motions and Appointments:**

Resolutions #87 and #88 are by Consent Agenda. All matters listed under Consent Agenda are considered to be routine by the Township Committee and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussions of these items. If discussion is desired by the Mayor or any member of the Township Committee, that item will be removed and will be considered separately. Advance copies of each resolution have been given to each Committee Member. The original resolutions are with the Municipal Clerk for inspection as listed below.

87. Issuance of Raffle License RL-4039 to the Rainbow Foundation.

88. Salary increase for the Police Academy Graduates.

Offered Committeewoman Ronchetti

2<sup>nd</sup> Committeeman DiNardo

Roll Call: Committeeman DiNardo Yes      Committeewoman Kiley Yes

Committeewoman Ronchetti Yes      Deputy Mayor Aagre Yes

Mayor Sachs Yes

89.      Confirming the appointment of Patrick Toal to the position of Sewer Repairer I.

Offered Committeewoman Kiley

2<sup>nd</sup> Committeeman DiNardo

Roll Call: Committeeman DiNardo Yes      Committeewoman Kiley Yes

Committeewoman Ronchetti Yes      Deputy Mayor Aagre Yes

Mayor Sachs Yes

90.      Appointment of Joseph Nagy to the position of Sewer Repairer I.

Offered Committeewoman Ronchetti

2<sup>nd</sup> Deputy Mayor Aagre

Roll Call: Committeeman DiNardo Yes      Committeewoman Kiley Yes

Committeewoman Ronchetti Yes      Deputy Mayor Aagre Yes

Mayor Sachs Yes

91.      Appointment of Cody Sachs to the position of Laborer with a CDL in the Department of Public Works.

Offered Committeewoman Kiley

2<sup>nd</sup> Committeeman DiNardo

Roll Call: Committeeman DiNardo Yes      Committeewoman Kiley Yes

Committeewoman Ronchetti Yes      Deputy Mayor Aagre Yes

Mayor Sachs Recuse

92.      Reduction of the performance guarantee for Digestive Disease Specialists of Central Jersey, P.C. (JPA Holdings/Dr. Avendano) Block 183, Lot 4.

Offered Mayor Sachs

2<sup>nd</sup> Deputy Mayor Aagre

Roll Call: Committeeman DiNardo Yes      Committeewoman Kiley Yes

Committeewoman Ronchetti Yes      Deputy Mayor Aagre Yes

Mayor Sachs Yes

93. Authorizing the Settlement of the tax appeal for Block 166.09, Lot 11 for property owned by Hazbro Realty, Inc.

Offered Committeewoman Ronchetti 2<sup>nd</sup> Committeewoman Kiley

Roll Call: Committeeman DiNardo Yes Committeewoman Kiley Yes

Committeewoman Ronchetti Yes Deputy Mayor Aagre Yes

Mayor Sachs Yes

94. Authorizing the Mayor to execute a shared services agreement with Middletown Township for Animal Control Services.

Offered Committeeman DiNardo 2<sup>nd</sup> Committeewoman Kiley

Roll Call: Committeeman DiNardo Yes Committeewoman Kiley Yes

Committeewoman Ronchetti Yes Deputy Mayor Aagre Yes

Mayor Sachs Yes

95. Authorizing the Monmouth County Mosquito Control Division to conduct aerial mosquito control operations.

Offered Deputy Mayor Aagre 2<sup>nd</sup> Committeeman DiNardo

Roll Call: Committeeman DiNardo Yes Committeewoman Kiley Yes

Committeewoman Ronchetti Yes Deputy Mayor Aagre Yes

Mayor Sachs Yes

96. Granting exemptions and abatement for Block 187, Lot 8; Block 166.04, Lot 6 and Block 203, Lot 10.

Offered Committeewoman Kiley 2<sup>nd</sup> Committeeman DiNardo

Roll Call: Committeeman DiNardo Yes Committeewoman Kiley Yes

Committeewoman Ronchetti Yes Deputy Mayor Aagre Yes

Mayor Sachs Yes

97. Requesting the Governor to nominate Michael D. Pugliese as the Municipal Court Judge.

Offered Deputy Mayor Aagre 2<sup>nd</sup> Mayor Sachs

Roll Call: Committeeman DiNardo Yes Committeewoman Kiley Yes

Committeewoman Ronchetti Yes Deputy Mayor Aagre Yes

Mayor Sachs Yes

98. Authorizing the Mayor to execute the 2015 Safety Contract with the Monmouth County Municipal Joint Insurance Fund.

Offered Committeewoman Ronchetti 2<sup>nd</sup> Deputy Mayor Aagre

Roll Call: Committeeman DiNardo Yes Committeewoman Kiley Yes

Committeewoman Ronchetti Yes Deputy Mayor Aagre Yes

Mayor Sachs Yes

#### **Payment of Bills:**

Advance bill lists have been supplied to each Committee Member.

Offered Committeewoman Ronchetti 2<sup>nd</sup> Mayor Sachs

Roll Call: Committeeman DiNardo Yes Committeewoman Kiley Yes

Committeewoman Ronchetti Yes Deputy Mayor Aagre Yes

Mayor Sachs Yes

#### **Citizens Hearing:**

Keith Wright- My parents were residents of Hazlet for 45 years and have since both passed. I am the executor of the estate for their property at 2017 Florence Avenue. We are looking to sell the property and in the process I find there is a parcel of land that's 117 ½ x18 in the back of property that the township owns. That back piece of property is land locked by the surrounding properties and I would like to purchase this piece of property via auction or however the process is. So I can go forward in selling it because now the property is divided in a third.

Attorney Gorman- What is the size?

Mr. Wright- 117 ½ by 80.

Lawrence Luttrell, Esq. I represent Keith Wright and we were before the Planning Board when this issue came up. Keith owns Lot 32, 33 of Block 64 both have parcels on them but were merged together some time ago although there are two different homes on it. He applied for a

subdivision in the process of the sub division being granted the approval was conditioned on one of two things either acquire the land locked property that Hazlet owns in the rear of Lot 32 or provide an access easement across your Lot 32 to access Lot 31.01. The underlying issue is Keith is simply asking that it would be much easier if the Township would consider auctioning off that property in the back for public auction in the hopes that he might be able to buy it. Simply because he has a willing buyer now to buy his lot but he cannot sell it to them unless he owns the back lot. The other problem Keith has is they have affectively been using that property in the back as if it were their own for many years which is not going to come to an end if they are not able to acquire the property and one condition is they do have to give the access easement if the township is not willing to sell it. They would have to bring the fence that encloses the backyard, which they have a pool back there all of 118 feet back all the back to where their actual property line rests. The property itself is not developable as it is and would not be developable without a significant variance and there is no frontage at all for it. Although it would have an access easement and my client is already 28% of his lot is covered and the limit of lot coverage is 25% in that particular zone so they would not even be able to pave over the access easement without a variance. Ultimately he is asking Committee to consider auctioning off this property. As far as we know it was acquired in a tax foreclosure sale against unknown owners and it does not serve any function or purpose. There is no pump station or anything there that is serving. We would like to put it back into productive use and get it taxed and if the township was considering that and make it undevelopable. Preserve it is open space and not be able to sub divide or sell it. Respectfully he submits on those grounds and ask that the Committee consider that.

Attorney Gorman- Under the law the way it is written it meets the minimum lot area in the zone so therefore it has to be sold at a public auction to the public. Without knowing the whole history of the site or having done a Title Search or knowing how all this stuff was created and I haven't done that. There has to be a way in and out now?

Attorney Luttrell- Presumptively yes and to back up a little bit we did order a 100 year title search and it hasn't come in yet. I would provide that to Mr. Gorman and the Committee. Assuming Lot 32 or 33 at one point wherever under continuous ownership with Lot 31.01 then yes there would some easement by description or somewhere along the lines that would allow that property to prevent itself from being landlocked. I do not know that yet but ultimately I will find that information out and furnish that to the Committee with the 100 year Title Search.

Attorney Gorman- I would think that looking at an exhaustive Title Search there is a way in whether expressed or implied. Generally people did not own lots or create lots that you had to come in by helicopter.

Attorney Luttrell- Mr. Wright has a little bit of information on that. Could you elaborate on the property?

Mr. Wright- I was there for 28 years back in the early 70's. The guy who lived across the street would come to our property because he had a little garden there. My parents both passed and we really never had a discussion about the property. This property we maintained and we played on it and it conforms with our yard.

Attorney Gorman- One it has to be sold at a public auction to the general public. Two, we have to know the status of the existing easements or how to get to the property. If the town was going

to sell we have to know what value it has. Third I disagree with you as to whether or not it can be developed. It certainly needs variances but it does not mean it can't be developed it does not mean it can't get a driveway. It does not mean it does not have a way in or out.

Attorney Luttrell- Mr. Gorman you have plenty of experience on Planning Boards, etc.

Attorney Gorman- You can disagree.

Attorney Luttrell – He is already 28% lot coverage and someone else would have to apply for covering his lot effectively.

Attorney Gorman- Isn't that the access easement?

Attorney Luttrell- That would be the access easement we would be giving to the township.

Attorney Gorman- Presumably that would mean someone could get in or out.

Attorney Luttrell- Correct but there is not enough room. He would basically have to find a new driveway.

Attorney Gorman- It would have to be by public auction and I don't know the status of the property and other people could bid and other people might wind up building a house behind you.

Mr. Wright- If you look at it there is no possible way you could put a house or driveway back there. If that is how the process goes I will go whichever way it goes respectfully.

Deputy Mayor Aagre- You have a 100 year Title Search out there and you haven't heard back yet. Secondly, you agreed to this access easement approved part of your conditions on the Land Use Board.

Attorney Luttrell- If we can't acquire the property yes.

Deputy Mayor Aagre- Once we find out the answer either complete your Land Use Conditions or get the results of the Title Search and if there is a different way in great. Then we can go out to public auction and I don't think we can do that prior to either one being done.

Mayor Sachs- I think the consensus of the Committee is we don't have a problem once we get all the information putting the property out of public auction. We are not against that we just have to do our due diligence and wait for you to finish what you have to do.

Attorney Gorman- When you do that and it goes to the general public and its Mr. Wright or someone else that might be appearing before your Land Use Board asking for a variance to put a house up whether Mr. Wright is the high bidder or someone else is. That could be the unintended consequence.

Attorney Luttrell- I appreciate the caveat on that and obviously I have advised Mr. Wright of those risks. Ultimately, unless this Committee is willing to put it out for bid and allow that bid to be contingent upon the buyer getting development approvals which I find would not be the norm then I severely doubt that anyone is going to put up any money to develop a piece of property

that needs a variance for a street frontage and needs a variance because it is effectively a flag lot which is not permitted under the current zoning ordinance.

Attorney Gorman- Let's get the 100 year Title Search done and see what it says now and then we can move forward from there.

Charles Hoffman- I sent a memo to Mr. Pino and I did not explain myself properly. You have already planned your road work for this year and you have budgeted for it.

Mayor Sachs- It has been budgeted for but it is has not been planned out.

Mr. Hoffman- You have your thoughts on what sections you are going to do but just remember the costs of asphalt has gone down astronomically along with the price of oil. You should be able to get a bigger bang for your buck this year and I think you ought to approach it that way. Maybe review your plans you have now and maybe if at all possible, go after the State for additional grant money because you are going to do more. It is a shame if we don't jump on this because it is down forty something percent I believe.

Mayor Sachs- I am not aware of the costs of asphalt.

Mr. Hoffman- I came across this indirectly.

Bill Shewan- Cliff and I have had a lot of discussion and we have partnered on something that was brought up to the township back in the fall by myself. Since that time there has been quite a bit of input from different people with a lot of positive and some negative as well. That has to do with reformatting the Charter of Hazlet Township with regard to the type of Government that we have. There are five forms of government and we have the oldest form and that form of government is the Township Form of Municipal Government which means there is a Committee and the Mayor is appointed by the Committee for a one year appointment. The other is the town form of municipal government where the mayor is elected. There is another form of government where the mayor is elected but it is not a strong mayor, the one I just mentioned is a strong mayor. That being a strong mayor has the opportunity to veto which can be over ridden by a council when the council is created. On top of that the town is broken into sectors and that is where the council members come from formally called Wards. With regard to that we feel the township should consider this and form a committee to review it. There is no way that Cliff or I would rush something like this through, and Cliff can speak for himself. It has to be studied what are the pluses what are the minus's, create steering committees that would review what other towns are doing and what kind of results they got from that. Have township meetings to see whether our citizens would be interested in this type of form of government. It would be a big change and it is something that cannot be done overnight. It needs a lot of research and it would cost money in the long run and it has to go before the voters. With that being said we would look first for the support to create a committee sanctioned by the township and we are talking in terms of about 5 people.

Cliff Moore- This is not to be adversarial in any way, shape or form. The thought was we would have more things accomplished over time. I think that everyone who has been a mayor when we rotate every year does everything they can and I have nothing bad to say and I give everyone a lot of credit for their time and efforts for doing it. What happens is we lose continuity so we are moving in a direction and it changes. In towns that have a Mayor for 3 years you have a lot more

accountability so a lot more things get accomplished. Unlike Bill, I have asked a lot of people a lot of questions and most people in this town have no idea how they get a Mayor. They have no idea how a Mayor gets into that position or who the Mayor is. It adds continuity and I think we can accomplish more things. We are asking that the Committee recognize the opportunity to create a committee of which Bill and I volunteered to co-chair and the thought process was based on our discussion, again it is something we are throwing out there. We would create a steering committee that would have 5 additional people and how they get selected we would leave that up to you or you can leave that up to us. From those five people we would come up with what a plan would look like. All this would be is for investigative purposes. We would look at the five forms of government and we would get as many people involved in the township that would want to get involved and then we would do mini townhalls if you want to call it that and go to fire departments and maybe every 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Wednesday of the month but work something out where we can question the voters and get their opinion, and get people to buy in or understand what we are trying to do and then decide at that point and bring it back to the Committee and let you know what the results are we have investigated towns that have it and these are the good, bad and ugly in each of them and then at that time decide if we want to put it to the public on the ballot.

Committeewoman Ronchetti- Would you get it on the ballot for this year?

Mr. Moore- No. Not at all this year.

Committeewoman Ronchetti- This is very extensive?

Mr. Moore- It might not even be next year. This might be a three year process and we might do all this work and find out this is the best form of government for Hazlet.

Deputy Mayor Aagre- It is not one person that is able to control the factor. The reason people don't know who the Mayor is, is because all five have the same voting rights, which makes sense currently. If you have Mayor and Council, council does all the voting and Mayor is only a tie breaker. If you have a stronger mayor yes but you basically have that person for four years. I am trying to see what the advantage would be. There is a majority change and it is going to happen in the council type of set up.

Committeewoman Ronchetti- I am in favor of the Committee looking into this and see what comes up.

Attorney Gorman- There is a statutory process and what you gentlemen have described is not the statutory process. The statutory process is that Commissioners are elected and have been voted in office at a general election. You become a Commissioner that comes up with a Charter Study. The results of the Charter Studies are from those elected Commissioners. Those five elected Commissioners can recommend whatever those five people think is the best plan. One you do not know who is going to win the election, doing the stuff you suggested in advance to me is not what the statute contemplates and I am not so sure we can do it because it is an influential way of doing what the statute is going to require. All this is by Referendum and the Charter Study Commission could come up and say this is what we pick and recommend and it has to be approved by the voters. They can say we want Non-partisan Elections, we want May elections, we want Wards we don't want Wards, we want 7 people we want 9 people, we want strong mayor, we don't want a strong mayor, we want strong manager. We cannot predetermine the

type of government that the Commissioners are going to pick or that the public is going to vote on. The public doesn't get a choice of a, b or c. They get a vote on the form of government recommended by the Commissioners

Mr. Moore- You are 100% right Mr. Counsellor and we are looking at it from not being educated in the process so we definitely appreciate your feedback. What you just described is something that we would like the Committee to consider and if we need to follow a certain process we will do that.

Attorney Gorman- There is some ultimate form of government that sounds best to each individual and everyone has their own opinion. Once you start that formal statutory process you have lost control. It could be a 9 member ward type government with a strong manager is that what you would want?

Mr. Moore- It is up to whatever the voters want.

Attorney Gorman- No it's not because the first choice is by the Charter Study Commission and then their recommendation goes on the next ballot. The public does not get a chance to say we like parts of that we don't like parts of that. It is what the Charter Study Commission says. To a large extent the known, maybe not a perfect form of government but its known compared to the unknown of how this is all going to turn out. Once you start that ball rolling down the hill by starting with a Charter Study Commission you have lost control of the ball. Five people could get elected who might be the best, smartest, most dedicated public servants in an election or not and they can vote 3-2 and they wind up recommending something that goes on the ballot that the voters say yay or nay to that. You cannot control how this winds up.

Mr. Shewan- We are looking to do an informal way of a study.

Attorney Gorman- If the Committee or by referendum it went on the ballot by ordinance or referendum then you start all over again because the people who served on that informal committee wind up getting elected. The same result may come out from that informal committee and they wind up coming up with something different from the formal committee.

Mr. Shewan- What made me take a look at it was when the former Mayor of Hazlet said it was time to take a look at it. Now he sat as the mayor and sat on this Committee and as such, that would mean something that we should at least consider it, study it and come up with recommendations from the formal committee. Not the formal way where you have to do certain things legally and it still goes before the standing committee here for further review to take action at a future date. We are standing still and I am not saying ours is the best or the worst at all but I do think considerations should be made because that is the way you move forward. Will we do it? Move forward that way? Maybe, maybe not.

Committeewoman Ronchetti – You are just researching, is all you are saying, so there is nothing wrong with that.

Attorney Gorman- Yes there is. What I am saying is you are going to have an informal process for people to advise the Committee as to what you are going to do. That informal process is outside the Open Public Meetings Act, outside the Open Public Records Act, outside government, outside the Ethics Laws. Those people come tell you what they came up with and

you are now taking Open Public Government and putting it in a private Committee you cannot do that.

Committeewoman Ronchetti - They can decide if they want to run this year as a Commission for a Charter if they choose to. If you say that they can't do this on their own which is just a research process like any good person does they are just looking into it. You are making them jump that step to go into running for a Commission on the referendum and start the process then.

Attorney Gorman- If people in the public want to give you ideas, suggestions that is fine but to make a formal part of your, you are authorizing it, you are appointing people.

Committeewoman Ronchetti – They don't need us to appoint them they can do it on their own.

Attorney Gorman- They can do it on their own don't make it a part of this.

Mayor Sachs- If you want to take it outside this building, outside this form of government and form a Committee that is entirely up to you. The Committee sitting up here cannot say we are going to form a group of people to study this.

Mr. Shewan- A memo came down from legal standing saying the Recreation Advisory Council that minutes will not be taken anymore and we do not have to advise the public so that contradicts what you just said.

Committeewoman Ronchetti- Why are you not taking minutes anymore?

Attorney Gorman- They take no official action, they don't lead to any official thing, they don't spend money they are just an advisory group. They are still part of the township you just don't need minutes.

Committeewoman Kiley- If they are citizens on their own that decide they want to do kind of an evaluation on their own then none of us on this Committee can participate with them.

Attorney Gorman- Yes.

David Kestner- Their first step is to find out what the laws of the state on what the procedure is to do what they want to do before they try and do something. The state has procedures and everything. I think that is what you were trying to tell them that they need to research and find out what the rules and regulations are in the state as to the way you go about changing the form of government.

Attorney Gorman- I will tell you the statutes are not easy to read and they take a lot of going back and forth. There are not a lot of cases because not a lot of towns have changed their form of government.

Mr. Kestner – Now that the intersection of Union and Middle is getting somewhat near completion something in the back of my mind there was a presentation as to what was going to be done with the money from the County for the land that was taken. At one time there was a proposal of a Stone Arch with a clock at the intersection.

Committeewoman Ronchetti- I believe it was removed a while ago.

Mr. Kestner- What is the money going for now? Where is the money?

Deputy Mayor Aagre- We got certain monies for the acquisition of the property for Green Acres portion. That was going to complete the sidewalks around the whole intersection because there is a whole pedestrian walkway from Natco, the cross walks that need to be put in to get to the other side. There is going to be some kind of entrance into Veteran's Park there.

Mr. Kestner- I thought the money was still there and they were going to complete the sidewalks and possibly extend the curb where the county stopped.

Deputy Mayor Aagre- The curbing is basically going to cover the entire property on Middle Road and Union Avenue. You cannot go beyond that point because of certain site conditions and that is why it makes sense to get an accessible park entrance on that corner.

Deputy Mayor Aagre- April 2, 2015 is the tentative date for JCP&L to come in and start moving the wiring and get the telephone out of street.

Monica DeMarco- I have lived in my house for 8 years and I grew up here. I have had French drains in my home for eight years and this past year we got our sidewalks and I received a note on my home from the Hazlet Township Code Enforcement office from Frank Finnerty on January 12, 2015 stating I was pumping excessive water into the street where a drain was available. I had check marks next to property maintenance and streets and sidewalks. It says Annapolis Drive has underground drain please connect to drain and then I had 10 days to accomplish this. I had tried to do this before and my plumber told me it was over \$1,000.00. I called Mr. Finnerty and he was not a nice person. He would not listen to me and I tried to explain that once they did the curbs and aprons they did about 2 feet out and it was not flowing properly and not drained properly and we have never had excess water before. This was an anonymous person that called and they would not give their name on who complained about me. They said it was my French drains that were pumping out into the street and causing all this water. I have had these drains for 8 years and never had the excess water that these people down the street did have. They have had water and I cannot say it is definitely from me or from my pumps. When I called and talked to him about this he said he would look into this. My plumber called him and he was not nice to my plumber either. He told my plumber he would look into the matter and he said it would take about 5 days. My plumber said you only gave her 10 days and Mr. Finnerty said well too bad that time is long enough. That is not enough time and I was very stressed about this and I was afraid I was going to be fined. He was not a nice person and he did not try to help me at all and made it to be my fault. I come to find out that Mr. Lind came to my home and got this accomplished for me through the township and I did not have to pay for this. I just feel what he did was very wrong and he should not have handled it like this. They rushed that job on our road and they told me that.

Mayor Sachs- We apologize for the way he handled this matter.

Administrator Pino- I will reach out to him and talk to him about this.

**Motion to close hearing:**

Offered Committeewoman Ronchetti

2<sup>nd</sup> Mayor Sachs

Voice Vote: Yes

**Motion to adjourn:**

Offered Committeewoman Ronchetti

2<sup>nd</sup> Mayor Sachs

Voice Vote: Yes

Time: 9:10PM